

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	O.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/056,055	- •	01/28/2002	Osamu Nakamura	0756-2428	3986
31780	7590	03/31/2004		EXAM	INER
ERIC RC	BINSON	1	KANG, DONGHEE		
PMB 955 21010 SO	UTHBAN	IK ST.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
POTOMA	POTOMAC FALLS, VA 20165			2811	
				DATE MAIL ED: 03/31/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u>Apr</u>	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/056,055	NAKAMURA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Donghee Kang	2811	
The MAILING DATE of this communication for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicated if the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a statutor. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
tatus			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>17 March 2004</u> .		
	☐ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a	allowance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex part</i> e Quayle, 1935 C.D	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
isposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-83 is/are pending in the appli	cation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-8,37-74 and</u>	78-80 is/are withdrawn from cor	nsideration.	
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>81-83</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-36 and 75-77</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
pplication Papers		•	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for t a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received in A	Application No	
	ne priority documents have beer	received in this National Stage	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
<u> </u>	•		

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other: ___

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/056,055

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. Acknowledgment is made of receipt of applicant's Information Disclosure Statement (PTO-1449) field 01-28-02, 04-03-02, 06-14-02, 11-22-02 & 02-03-03.

Election/Restrictions

3. Applicant's election of group II & species of embodiment 1 having a structure as described in figa.1a-1f (claims 9-36, 75-77 & 81-83) filed 03-17-04 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/056,055

Art Unit: 2811

Claims 9-36 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 49-114 of copending Application No. 10/051,064. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it is an obvious matter of routine experimentation to find the optimal concentration ranges. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select a concentration of a rare gas element since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 75-76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamazaki et al. (US 5,789,284).

Yamazaki et al. teach a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device comprising:

Providing a an amorphous silicon film with a metal element which promotes crystallization of said silicon film; obtaining a crystalline silicon film by crystallizing said

Application/Control Number: 10/056,055 Page 4

Art Unit: 2811

amorphous silicon film by heat treatment; dispersing by heat treatment the metal element; and heating and/or irradiating said crystalline silicon film with laser light (see claim 17)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 77 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamazaki et al. (US 5,789,284).

Yamazaki et al. do not explicitly teach the light has an energy density of 360 mi/cm² or higher.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select the energy of the light, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 81-83 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Prior art reference, taken along or in combination, do not teach or render obvious that the method of manufacturing a semiconductor device as claimed in claim 81.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/056,055 Page 5

Art Unit: 2811

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donghee Kang whose telephone number is 571-272-1656. The examiner can normally be reached on Maxiflex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie C Lee can be reached on 571-272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

King Donghes

Donghee Kang

Examiner

Art Unit 2811

dhk